

## A Field-Cycling MRI System with Detection at 0.45T

D. J. Lurie<sup>1</sup>, G. R. Davies<sup>1</sup>, J. M. Hutchison<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bio-Medical Physics & Bio-Engineering, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, United Kingdom

### Introduction:

In recent years there has been increased interest in the use of magnetic field cycling with MRI. In field-cycling the magnetic field is switched between two levels: the evolution field  $B_0^E$  (often a low value) at which the spins evolve, and the detection field  $B_0^D$  at which RF pulses and signal detection occur.  $B_0^D$  should be as high as possible in order to improve SNR. The technique is used in field-cycled proton-electron double-resonance imaging (FC-PEDRI), to image the distribution of free radicals in biological samples [1]. Here the magnetic field is reduced to  $B_0^E$  (typically ~4 mT) at which the EPR of the free radical is irradiated for  $\sim 3 \times T_1$ , transferring polarization from electron spins to water protons via the Overhauser effect. After ramping the field up to  $B_0^D$  in a time  $< T_1$ , signal detection occurs. A second use for field-cycled MRI is in relaxometric imaging, where field-cycling is employed to allow the acquisition of images showing the behaviour of  $T_1$  with field strength, obtained on a single instrument. Such  $T_1$ -dispersion measurements can highlight so-called quadrupole dips in the  $T_1$  versus  $B_0^E$  plots, caused by  $^1\text{H}$ - $^{14}\text{N}$  interactions in immobilized proteins [2-4]. In this work we have built a field-cycled MRI system with a detection magnetic field of 0.45 T, suitable for both of the above applications.

### Apparatus:

**Field-Cycling Magnet Specification:** The system is designed to cycle to any field between zero and 0.45 T, with a field switching time of under 40 ms. The maximum sample diameter is 60 mm. To avoid image artefacts the detection magnetic field must be homogeneous (shimmed to  $\pm 1$  ppm over a 60 mm diameter spherical volume (DSV)), stable ( $\pm 1$  ppm within 10 ms of a field switch) and repeatable ( $\pm 1$  part in  $10^8$  from one acquisition to another). Relaxometric imaging measurements can tolerate relatively large spatial and temporal variations ( $\sim 5\%$ ) in  $B_0^E$ , but FC-PEDRI is less forgiving, so the specification was tailored to FC-PEDRI studies using narrow EPR-line ( $\sim 50$   $\mu\text{T}$ ) free radical contrast agents. In order to achieve uniform and efficient irradiation of the free radical's EPR,  $B_0^E$  (typically ~4 mT) should be homogeneous (shimmed to  $\pm 10$   $\mu\text{T}$  over a 60 mm DSV), stable ( $\pm 5$   $\mu\text{T}$  during the evolution period) and reproducible from cycle to cycle.

**Magnet Implementation:** A dual, coaxial magnet system is employed. The detection magnetic field is provided by a whole-body sized superconducting magnet with inner bore 830 mm (Oxford Magnet Technology, UK) operated at a constant 0.45 T. Within its bore, and coaxial with the superconducting magnet, is situated a resistive, actively-shielded field-offset ("bucking") coil, the net field from which is in opposition to the superconducting magnet's 0.45 T at the coils' centre. Active shielding of the offset coil is necessary to avoid eddy currents in the superconducting magnet's cryostat, and to minimize inductive coupling with that magnet. The actively-shielded field-offset coil assembly, which also incorporates gradient and shim coils, was constructed to the above specification by a commercial source (Tesla Engineering Ltd., UK). The free bore inside the shield/offset/gradient/shim coil assembly is 120 mm in diameter. In order to fully cancel the field at the sample, the field-offset and active-shield coils (connected in series) require a drive current of 880 A. This is supplied by a unit comprising four power-supply amplifier modules in parallel (Copley Controls Inc., USA). The power supply incorporates a high-precision zero-flux current transducer (Danfysik A/S, Denmark) which provides automatic correction for any drift in gain of the power-supply amplifier.

**Console and RF:** The system is controlled by a commercial NMR/MRI console (Tecmag Inc., USA), via a standard PC. The magnetic field is set by the console via a home-built, 16-bit, high-precision DAC module whose output is fed to the field-offset magnet's power-supply amplifier. The console also provides RF at the NMR frequency (19.14 MHz) and at the EPR frequency (typically around 100 MHz) for FC-PEDRI experiments. These studies made use of two RF coil assemblies: one was a double-resonance birdcage resonator, tuned to both the NMR and the EPR frequency, with all modes being circularly polarized (i.e. NMR Tx and Rx, plus EPR Tx). The other used a saddle coil for NMR (Tx and Rx) and an Alderman-Grant resonator for EPR Tx. For relaxometric measurements another birdcage resonator (NMR Tx and Rx, without an EPR channel) was used.

### Results and Conclusions:

The system has been used successfully in both FC-PEDRI and relaxometric measurements. *In vivo* FC-PEDRI studies were conducted on anesthetized adult Sprague-Dawley rats injected with a triaryl methyl free radical contrast agent. High resolution images of the free radical distribution were obtained (5 mm slice thickness, 0.8 mm in-plane resolution) that showed, for example, the animal's kidneys and ureters. Relaxometric studies on a boiled hen's egg test object demonstrated the expected marked reduction in  $T_1$  of egg white, measured on a quadrupole dip (65 mT) relative to the  $T_1$  measured either side of the dip (56 mT and 75 mT). The relatively high detection field (for a field-cycling system) of 0.45 T increases the SNR, and hence improves the quality of images and dispersion plots.

### References:

- [1] Lurie D.J., in: Biological Magnetic Resonance, **18**, ed: L.J. Berliner, Kluwer Academic, pp547-578 (2003).
- [2] Kimmich R. *et al.*, Phys. Med. Biol. **29**, 593 (1984).
- [3] Lurie D.J., Proc. Int. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. **7**, 653 (1999).
- [4] Ungersma S.E., *et al.*, Proc. Int. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. **11**, 179 (2004).