SCHOOL OF DIVINITY, HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY

ACADEMIC SESSION 2017-18

HA5033 - CONNOISSEURSHIP

15 Credit 11 week course

PLEASE NOTE CAREFULLY:

The full set of school regulations and procedures is contained in the Postgraduate Student Handbook which is available online at your MyAberdeen Organisation page. Students are expected to familiarise themselves not only with the contents of this leaflet but also with the contents of the Handbook. Therefore, ignorance of the contents of the Handbook will not excuse the breach of any School regulation or procedure.

You must familiarise yourself with this important information at the earliest opportunity.
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Course Co-ordinator: John Gash (Room CB406)
E-mail: j.gash@abdn.ac.uk

Dr Aaron Thom (Room CB201)
E-mail: aaron.thom@abdn.ac.uk

 Discipline Administration:

Mrs Kathleen Brebner
50-52 College Bounds
Room CB001
01224 273733
history-art@abdn.ac.uk
TIMETABLE
Classes are in CB203 from 9.15 a.m. to 11.00 a.m. on Mondays, with the exception of one additional one to view a Canaletto painting in the University’s collection on the afternoon of Wednesday 18th October.

Students can view their university timetable at
http://www.abdn.ac.uk/infohub/study/timetables-550.php

COURSE DESCRIPTION
The course consists of eleven seminars, for each of which, except the first one, the whole class will be expected to do preparatory study. You are also strongly encouraged to visit the exhibition, Beyond Caravaggio, at the National Gallery of Scotland, Edinburgh, open until 24th September, which deals with works by Caravaggio and his followers in British and Irish collections, including some that we shall be considering in class.

INTENDED AIMS AND LEARNING OUTCOMES
AIMS OF THE COURSE
The course seeks to introduce students to the aims, theory and practice of connoisseurship as a distinct branch of Art History that concentrates primarily on the evidence (of authorship, school, period, quality and authenticity) provided by art objects themselves rather than by written documents. It is concerned with traditional theories and methods of connoisseurship from Jonathan Richardson in the eighteenth century, and Giovanni Morelli in the nineteenth, through to modern scientific procedures for examining paintings, such as SEMs (Scanning Electron Microscopes), x-radiography, infra-red reflectography, and Raman microscopy.
OBJECTIVES

Students should expect to develop their ability to attribute works of art to particular artists by learning about attributional techniques in class, through private reading, and through examining paintings and other works of art in the original as well as in high-quality reproductions. They should aim to see some of the works discussed in the seminars in the original, in Scottish galleries such as the National Gallery, Edinburgh, the Glasgow Museum and Art Gallery, Kelvingrove, the Perth Museum and Art Gallery, The McManus Gallery, Dundee, Scone Palace (near Perth), and the National Gallery, London. Students’ development of such skills should be reflected in their 4000-word essay, as should their more general connoisseurial ability (specialist knowledge about the appearance, quality and status of works of art, including whether they are originals, copies, or fakes). It is also intended that such a programme should help to prepare students for a career in which direct contact with art-objects is central, such as working in a public or commercial gallery, or in an auction house.
SEMINAR PROGRAMME

Week 1.
Monday 11 September, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
Deceptions and Discoveries: Scientific Aids to Connoisseurship

Week 2. Monday 18 September, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
Giovanni Morelli’s method of ‘scientific’ connoisseurship

Week 3. Monday 25 September, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
Leonardo da Vinci and his Workshop. Can we tell who painted what?

Week 4. Monday 2 October, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
The Giorgione-Titian Conundrum

Monday 9 October, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
Caravaggio and his Followers: some cases of disputed or uncertain attribution:
*Portrait of Monsignor Maffeo Barberini* (Corsini Collection, Florence);
*Narcissus* (Galleria Nazionale di Palazzo Barberini, Rome); *The Toothpuller* (Pitti Gallery, Florence); *Judith beheading Holofernes* (Eric Turquin Gallery, Paris); *The Calling of Saints Peter and Andrew* (Royal Collection, Hampton Court); *Christ displaying his Wounds* (Perth Museum and Art Gallery, Scotland) on show at the Beyond Caravaggio exhibition at the National Gallery, Edinburgh, till 25 September 2017; *The Incredulity of Saint Thomas* (Wrotham Park); *Apollo and Hyacinth* (Musée Thomas Henry, Cherbourg); *St. John the Baptist* (Kunstmuseum, Basel): *The Denial of Saint Peter* (Scone Palace).
Monday 16 October, CB 203, 9.15 a.m.-11 a.m.
Jonathan Richardson the Elder and Younger: Eighteenth-Century Pioneers of Connoisseurship in England

Wednesday 18 October, 2.15 p.m. Location to be announced.
A chance to see Antonio Canaletto’s *Capriccio with Roman Ruins and a Bishop’s Tomb* in the University collection.

Monday 23 October, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
Rembrandt: The Master and his Workshop. What is an Original?

Monday 30 October, CB 203, 9.15 a.m. - 11 a.m.
Bernard Berenson and the Cult of the Connoisseur

Monday 6 November, CB 203, 9.15-11 a.m.
*The Skating Minister* in Edinburgh: Raeburn or Danloux?

Monday 13 November, CB 203, 9.15–11 a.m.
Four 20th-century Forgers: Han van Meegeren, Tom Keating, Eric Hebborn and Shaun Greenhalgh (The Bolton Forger). For this class you will each be asked to prepare a ten-minute presentation on one of the above forgers, or another one of your choice (which you should clear in advance with John Gash).
BIBLIOGRAPHY

General


Andrew W. Brainerd, On Connoisseurship and Reason in the Authentication of Art.


Philip Mould, The Art Detective (2010); and his earlier books Sleuth: The Amazing Quest for Lost Art Treasures, 2009; and Sleepers.

Max. J. Friedlaender, On Art and Connoisseurship, 1943

Brian Sewell’s two-volume autobiography, Outsider and Outsider II

Scientific aids to connoisseurship
Marjorie E. Wieseman: A Closer Look. Deceptions and Discoveries, National Gallery/Yale, 2010 (a few copies in Library)

National Gallery, London, DVD: Close Examination. Fakes, Mistakes and Discoveries. Available from the Department (see JG if you wish to borrow).


Giovanni Morelli
Giovanni Morelli: Italian Painters: Critical Studies of their Works, 1893-1900 (contains all the major writings)


Carlo Ginzburg: ‘Morelli, Freud and Sherlock Holmes: Clues and Scientific Method’ *History Workshop Journal*, no. 9, Spring, 1980, pp.5-36


**Leonardo da Vinci**


**The Giorgione-Titian Debate**


For Titian, see books by Charles Hope, H. Wethey, and, more recently, Peter Humfrey. For the modern drive to attribute the Louvre *Concert Champêtre* to Titian, see, esp., this latter and the exhibition catalogue, *Le siècle de Titien: L’âge d’or de la peinture à Venise*, Paris, Grand Palais, 1993.

On the Glasgow *Christ and the Woman taken in Adultery* see *The Age of Titian*, exhibition catalogue, ed. P. Humfrey etc., National Galleries of Scotland 2004,
pp.80-2; *Glasgow Museums: the Italian Paintings*, by Peter Humfrey, London and Glasgow, 2012; and *In the Age of Giorgione* (see above) – although none of these support the older attribution to Giorgione espoused by George Martin Richter, Giles Robertson, and Ellis Waterhouse, among others.


**Caravaggio/Followers**

Books on Caravaggio by Hibbard, Friedlaender, Moir, Gash, Langdon, Puglisi, Cinotti, Spike, Schütze etc.


For *The Toothpuller*, see both ed. Gregori, and K. Christiansen, above, and J.Gash: ‘The Caravaggesque Toothpuller’, in *Others and Outcasts in Early*


For the attribution of the Basel St. John to Maïno, see the catalogue (in Spanish and English) of the Maïno exhibition at the Prado in 2009, and Peter Cherry’s review of it in The Burlington Magazine, February 2010.

For the Cherbourg Apollo and Hyacinth, consult John Gash, who has just prepared an article on it.


Jonathan Richardson (the Elder and Younger)


See also the following early printed volumes under the name of Jonathan Richardson the Elder (1665-1745), but in several cases assisted by his son, also Jonathan. They are available in Special Collections and Archives in the Sir Duncan Rice Library, but an appointment to read them will need to be made in advance. You can also read them on line:-

Jonathan Richardson, *An Account of some of the Statues, Bas-reliefs, Drawings and Pictures in Italy &c, with Remarks*, London 1722.


**Canaletto**

In connection with our viewing of Canaletto’s *Capriccio with Roman Ruins and a Bishop’s Tomb*, see the forthcoming article in *The Burlington Magazine* by John Gash and Charles Beddington: ‘Canaletto and his Father in Aberdeen University’ [date still pending].

**Rembrandt and his Workshop**

Good introductions are provided by:-

- M. Kitson, *Rembrandt*;

The former standard catalogue of the *Complete paintings* is by A. Bredius, revised H. Gerson.

But, more importantly, see the 4 first volumes (1982; 1986; 1989 and 2006) of *A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings*, ed. J. Bruyn (and the 2006 vol. on the self-portraits by Ernst van de Wetering. See *Apollo*, Dec. 2006, pp.28-31 for a discussion of the latter). These cover the period 1625-42, plus the self-portraits. This important reference work has, however, provoked great controversy over its proposed de-attribution of large numbers of paintings traditionally accepted
as by Rembrandt. The controversy is discussed in the editorial of the MAY 1992 issue of *The Burlington Magazine*, and in J. Gash, "Rembrandt or Not?", *Art in America*, January 1993, pp. 57-69, and 127 (Copy available in QML, catalogued under *Art in America*; or from John Gash).


Recently, Ernst van de Wetering has produced a detailed personal review of all the paintings in the Corpus volumes: *Rembrandt’s Paintings Revisited: A Complete Survey*, 2014, as the concluding volume of *A Corpus of Rembrandt Paintings*. This is an essential study tool.

Rembrandt's technique is extensively discussed in the National Gallery exhibition catalogue, *Art in the Making: Rembrandt*, 1988-9, by D. BOMFORD, etc. (and recent, revised, ed.). Video available from J.G.


**Bernard Berenson** Apart from Berenson’s own writings (especially *The Italian Painters of the Renaissance* and *The Italian Pictures of the Renaissance* (lists)), see:


**The Skating Minister in the National Gallery of Scotland**
The key works are:

Stephen Lloyd, ‘‘Elegant and graceful attitudes’: the painter of the ‘Skating minister’, *The Burlington Magazine*, July 2005, pp. 474-486, which seeks to reattribute the picture from Raeburn to Henri-Pierre Danloux,

and Duncan Thompson, ‘Raeburn Revisited: *The Skating Minister’*, in *The Burlington Magazine*, March 2007, pp.185-189, which reattributes it once more to Raeburn.

There is also a small National Gallery of Scotland book on the picture by Duncan Thompson and Lynne Gladstone-Millar: *The Skating Minister: The Story Behind the Painting*, 2004.


**Han van Meegeren, Eric Hebborn, Tom Keating and Shaun Greenhalgh**
Magnus Magnusson, *Fakers, Forgers & Phoneys*, 2006 (deals with van Meegeren and Tom Keating among others)
Frank Wynne: *I was Vermeer: The Forger who swindled the Nazis*.
Christopher Wright, *The Art of the Forger*, 1984, though mainly (and unconvincingly) about alleged fakes of Georges de La Tour, touches upon van Meegeren.
Eric Hebborn’s Master Faker: The Forging of an Artist, 1988/91, is a riveting autobiography.
For Shaun Greenhalgh, the ‘Bolton forger’ of sculpture and painting, and conceivably also of the ‘Leonardo’ *Bella Principessa* drawing, see his recent
ASSESSMENT

Assessment is through one essay of 4000 words (including footnotes) [90%] from the list of topics provided below and participation in class through seminar talks and contribution to discussion, 10%. Students should note that they will be penalised for work which is either too short or too long. The acceptable margin below or above the word count is 5%.

To view the CGS Descriptors please go to MyAberdeen- Organisations- Divinity, History, & Philosophy Student Information for Taught Postgraduates. The link to the CGS Descriptors is on the left hand menu.

ESSAY TITLES

Write an essay of 4000 words on one of the following topics. To be handed in to CB008 by 3.00pm on Wednesday 22 November 2017:

1. Attempt to arrive at an attribution for the following three pictures traditionally ascribed to Giorgione:- *The Concert Champêtre* (Musée du Louvre, Paris); *Christ and the Woman taken in Adultery* (Glasgow Museum and Art Gallery, Kelvingrove); *The Judgment of Solomon* (National Trust, Kingston Lacy, Dorset). You should seek to explain your attributional method in some detail, indicating the extent to which your approach follows, or differs from, those of previous connoisseurs [of Giorgione, but also more generally].

2. Who painted the *Madonna Litta*?

3. Assess the arguments for and against attributing four of the the following seven pictures to Caravaggio:- *Portrait of Monsignor Maffeo Barberini* (Corsini Gallery, Florence); *Narcissus* (Galleria Nazionale, Palazzo Barberini, Rome); *The Toothpuller* (Pitti Gallery, Florence); *The Sacrifice of Isaac* (formerly Barbara Piasecka Johnson Collection, Princeton); *The Calling of
Saints Peter and Andrew (Royal Collection); The Vision of Saint Jerome (Art Museum, Worcester, Massachusetts); Judith beheading Holofernes (Galerie Eric Turquin, Paris). You should seek to explain your attributional methods in some detail, highlighting when, and for what reasons, differing factors come into play.

4. Discuss the problematic attributions of the following four Caravaggesque paintings: Christ displaying his Wounds (Perth Museum and Art Gallery, Scotland); Apollo and Hyacinth (Musée Thomas Henry, Cherbourg); Saint John the Baptist (Kunstmuseum, Basel); The Incredulity of Saint Thomas (Wrotham Park).

5. What are the similarities and differences between Jonathan Richardson the Elder and Giovanni Morelli’s methods of ‘scientific’ attribution? As part of your answer you should consider whether their concepts of science, and consequently their methodologies, were circumscribed by the scientific and philosophical discourse of their own day.

6. Who painted The Skating Minister in the National Gallery of Scotland? Give detailed reasons and explain your methodology.

7. Is the faker’s success predicated on the blindness of the connoisseur? Discuss with reference to at least two fakers.

8. How decisive can modern scientific methods of analysis be in clinching an attribution?

9. Assess in detail the evidence for and against attributing The Fortune Teller in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, to Georges de La Tour?

ASSESSMENT DEADLINES
Essay to be submitted by 3.00pm on Wednesday, 22\textsuperscript{nd} November 2017.

SUBMISSION ARRANGEMENTS
Submit one paper copy (cover sheet required) to the drop boxes in CB008 in 50-52 College Bounds and one official electronic copy to TurnitinUK via MyAberdeen. Both copies to be submitted by 3.00pm on the due date.
**Paper Copy:** One paper copy, typed and double spaced, together with an **Assessment cover sheet** – this should have your ID number **clearly** written on the cover sheet, with **NO** name or signature but **EVERYTHING ELSE** completed, including tutor’s name – and should be delivered to the drop boxes in CB008, 50-52 College Bounds.

**Electronic Copy:** One copy submitted through TurnitinUK via **MyAberdeen**. (for instructions please see [http://www.abdn.ac.uk/eLearning/turnitinuk/students/](http://www.abdn.ac.uk/eLearning/turnitinuk/students/) ) Students are asked to retain the TurnitinUK receipt so they are able to provide proof of submission at a later date if required.

In advance of uploading, please save the assignment with your student ID number listed in the filename, i.e. **59999999 HA5033 Essay 1**.

When asked to enter a title for the assignment, please enter a title identical to the name of your saved assignment, i.e. **59999999 HA5033 Essay 1**.

Both copies to be submitted by 3.00pm on the due date.

**Please note:** Failure to submit both an electronic copy to TurnitinUK, and an identical paper copy, will result in a deduction of marks. Failure to submit to TurnitinUK will result in a zero mark.

John Gash
July 2017